For the Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IN	THE	UNITED	STATES	DISTRICT	COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

LAWRENCE AMMONS,

Defendant.

No. CR 06-216 CW

ORDER DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO SUPPRESS **EVIDENCE**

Defendant Lawrence Ammons moves to suppress the fruits of his February 4, 2006 arrest and search of his person. The government opposes the motion. Having considered the papers filed by the parties, the Court denies the motion to suppress.

Defendant relies upon Florida v. J.L., 529 U.S. 266, 268 (2000), where the Supreme Court held that "an anonymous tip that a person is carrying a gun is, without more," not sufficient to justify a stop under Terry v. Ohio, 392 U.S. 1, 21 (1968). Here, however, the Court finds that the anonymous tip on which the police relied did possess the "moderate indicia of reliability" needed to justify a <u>Terry</u> stop. Unlike in <u>J.L.</u>, the informant explained that he or she knew about the gun because Defendant was allegedly waving

it around. Cf. J.L., 529 U.S. at 271 (noting that informant					
"neither explained how he knew about the gun nor supplied any basis					
for believing he had inside information about J.L."). In addition,					
the government has submitted a sworn declaration of Officer Raymond					
Thomas, one of the officers who reported to the scene, who states					
that he could smell alcohol on Defendant's breath, and his eyes					
appeared bloodshot and watery. Officer Thomas' observations					
verified one of the circumstances predicted by the anonymous					
caller, that Defendant seemed to be drunk.					

Therefore, Defendant's motion to suppress the fruits of the February 4, 2006 arrest and pat-down search is DENIED (Docket No. 7).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 8/24/06

Charles WILKEN

United States District Judge